Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report
Community Confinement Facilities

☐ Interim  ☒ Final
Date of Report  June 25, 2021

Auditor Information

Name: Kayleen Murray  Email: kmurray.prea@yahoo.com
Company Name: Click or tap here to enter text.
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2400  City, State, Zip: Wintersville, Ohio 43953
Telephone: 7403176630  Date of Facility Visit: April 21-22, 2021

Agency Information

Name of Agency: Volunteers of America of Ohio & Indiana
Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): Click or tap here to enter text.
Physical Address: 1776 E. Broad Street  City, State, Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43203
Mailing Address: Click or tap here to enter text.  City, State, Zip: Click or tap here to enter text.
The Agency Is: ☐ Military  ☐ Private for Profit  ☒ Private not for Profit
☐ Municipal  ☐ County  ☐ State  ☐ Federal
Agency Website with PREA Information: https://www.voaohin.org/residential-reentry

Agency Chief Executive Officer

Name: John vonArx
Email: John.vonArx@voago.org  Telephone: 317-686-5809

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

Name: Stacey Seif
Email: Stacey.seif@voago.org  Telephone: 419-525-4589 x 1277
PREA Coordinator Reports to: LaRaun Clayton
Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator: 6
## Facility Information

**Name of Facility:** Dayton Residential Reentry Program  
**Physical Address:** 1931 S. Gettysburg Ave  
**City, State, Zip:** Dayton, Ohio 45417

**Mailing Address (if different from above):**  
**City, State, Zip:** Click or tap here to enter text.

**The Facility Is:**  
- [☐] Military  
- [□] Private for Profit  
- [☒] Private not for Profit  
- [□] Municipal  
- [□] County  
- [□] State  
- [□] Federal

**Facility Website with PREA Information:** https://www.voaohin.org/residential-reentry

Has the facility been accredited within the past 3 years?  
- [☒] Yes  
- [□] No

If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years):  
- [☑] ACA  
- [□] NCCHC  
- [□] CALEA  
- [☑] Other (please name or describe: CARF  
- [□] N/A

If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe:  
Click or tap here to enter text.

### Facility Director

**Name:** Darin Tucker  
**Email:** darin.tucker@voaohin.org  
**Telephone:** 937-262-8876

### Facility PREA Compliance Manager

**Name:** Darin Tucker  
**Email:** darin.tucker@voaohin.org  
**Telephone:** 937-262-8876

### Facility Health Service Administrator  
- [☑] N/A

**Name:** Click or tap here to enter text.  
**Email:** Click or tap here to enter text.  
**Telephone:** Click or tap here to enter text.
### Facility Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designated Facility Capacity:</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Population of Facility:</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily population for the past 12 months:</td>
<td>124, including electronic monitoring residents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?</td>
<td>☒ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which population(s) does the facility hold?</td>
<td>☒ Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range of population:</td>
<td>18 and older</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of stay or time under supervision</td>
<td>5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility security levels/resident custody levels</td>
<td>minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more:</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the audited facility hold residents for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Select all other agencies for which the audited facility holds residents: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold residents for any other agency or agencies):

- ☒ Federal Bureau of Prisons
- ☐ U.S. Marshals Service
- ☐ U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
- ☐ Bureau of Indian Affairs
- ☐ U.S. Military branch
- ☒ State or Territorial correctional agency
- ☐ County correctional or detention agency
- ☐ Judicial district correctional or detention facility
- ☐ City or municipal correctional or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or city jail)
- ☐ Private corrections or detention provider
- ☐ Other - please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.
- ☐ N/A

| Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with residents: | 25 |
| Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with residents: | 15 |
| Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with residents: | 3 |
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 8 |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 0 |

### Physical Plant

**Number of buildings:**

Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether residents are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house residents, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.

| 1 |

**Number of resident housing units:**

Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a “housing unit” defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house residents of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.

| 1 |

**Number of single resident cells, rooms, or other enclosures:**

| 0 |

**Number of multiple occupancy cells, rooms, or other enclosures:**

| 2 |

**Number of open bay/dorm housing units:**

| 3 |

**Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g., cameras, etc.)?**

☒ Yes ☐ No

**Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?**

☒ Yes ☐ No
### Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are medical services provided on-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are mental health services provided on-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provided? Select all that apply.</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- On-site</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Local hospital/clinic</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rape Crisis Center</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Investigations

#### Criminal Investigations

- Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment: 0
- When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by:
  - ☒ Facility investigators
  - ☒ Agency investigators
  - ☐ An external investigative entity

  Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)
  - ☒ Local police department
  - ☒ Local sheriff's department
  - ☐ State police
  - ☐ A U.S. Department of Justice component
  - ☐ Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
  - ☐ N/A

#### Administrative Investigations

- Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment: 14
- When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by:
  - ☒ Facility investigators
  - ☒ Agency investigators
  - ☐ An external investigative entity

  Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)
  - ☐ Local police department
  - ☐ Local sheriff's department
  - ☐ State police
  - ☐ A U.S. Department of Justice component
  - ☐ Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
  - ☒ N/A
Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

The auditor’s description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor’s process for the site review.

The PREA onsite visit for the Dayton Residential Reentry Program, 1931 S. Gettysburg Ave, Dayton, Ohio 45417. The facility is part of the Volunteers of America of Ohio and Indiana (VOAOHIN) operated community confinement facilities. The goal of the audit is to ensure operational compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards for community confinement facilities.

The PREA Coordinator forwarded an encrypted flash drive with documentation showing compliance with each standard. The auditor received the flash drive with the documentation approximately six weeks prior to the onsite visit. The information included the pre-audit questionnaire, policy and procedure, MOUs, facility staffing plan, table of organization, job descriptions, investigation reports, training records, training curriculum, and other miscellaneous documents.

The audit notice posting was sent to the auditor showed the dates of the onsite visit; the name, address, and email address of the auditor; and the ability to have confidential correspondence with the auditor. The auditor did not receive any correspondence from residents or staff prior to the onsite visit.

In addition to the documentation sent prior to the onsite visit, the auditor reviewed resident files, staff files, staff and resident training records, risk for abusiveness screenings and re-screenings, agency website, acknowledgement forms, posters, brochures, floor plan with camera locations, volunteer/contractor information, and coordinated response plan during the onsite visit.

The onsite visit was conducted over two days where the auditor received a complete tour of the facility and perimeter areas. The tour included observations of the male housing unit, dorm rooms, bathrooms, closets/storage rooms, administration area, and outdoor smoke area. During the walkthrough, the auditor was able to have informal conversations with both staff and residents. The auditor made notes of cameras, security
mirrors, blind spot areas, and staff/resident interaction. The auditor was provided a private office to conduct formal interviews with staff and residents.

The auditor interviewed sixteen residents based on the population of one hundred-sixteen (116) residents during the onsite visit. The residents selected were based on the requirements of the PREA Resource Center’s Auditor Handbook guidelines. The residents were selected based on their housing unit, targeted interview status, risk assessment screening, intake dates, and commitment status. The auditor conducted the following interviews:

- Random = 15
- Targeted = 1

The breakdown of the number of targeted interviews is as follows:

- Residents that identified as hard of hearing

The auditor conducted the interviews in accordance with the PREA Compliance Audit Instrument Guide and the Auditor Handbook Guide for Effective Strategies for Interviewing Staff and Residents. The auditor explained the interview process to each resident and that they were under no obligation to answer questions. The auditor asked questions concerning the resident’s experience with PREA education, allegation reporting requirements, retaliation, staff communication, grievance reporting, knock and announcements, searches (pat, enhanced pat, strip, body cavity, and cross-gender), housing unit concerns, limits to confidentiality, outside supportive services, disciplinary sanctions, and other PREA related concerns.

The facility has twenty-five full and part-time staff members including the Program Director. The auditor was able to talk with agency leadership, specialized interviews, and random staff members during the onsite visit, which includes:

- Director of Compliance, Quality Improvement, and Training
- PREA Coordinator
- Human Resource Manager
- Human Resource Generalist
- PREA Compliance Manager
- Administrative Investigators
- Program Director
The auditor also interviewed random staff members from both programming and security. Security staff from all shifts were interviewed. Several staff members were responsible for more than one specialized area. The auditor was unable to interview the minimum of twelve random staff member due to the limited number of staff employed at this facility.

The facility recently hired a transgender staff member. The staff member was not available for interview during the onsite visit. Other staff members at the facility spoke about their experience working with a transgender staff member during private interviews. The staff stated that a few of the residents questioned the gender of the staff member but no one has made a complaint or had any problems with the staff member. The staff state that when asked, they will redirect the residents and remind them of appropriate pro-social behaviors. No resident spoke about any staff member as being possibly transgender. When discussing the staff member with the PREA Coordinator and the Program Director, both state that the staff member was trained to follow the procedures and protocols for the gender the staff member identifies. They state that the staff member will receive the same training and expectations to protect, detect, report, and respond to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as all other security staff.

All staff interviews, random and specialized, were conducted using the PREA Compliance Audit Instrument Interview Guide and the PREA Auditor Handbook’s Effective Strategies for Interviewing Staff and Resident Guide. The auditor was able to question staff on the agency’s zero tolerance policies, trainings, reporting protocols, first responder duties, coordinated response plan, grievance procedures, investigation protocols, confidentiality, retaliation monitoring, risk screening, protection from abuse, LGBTI policies and procedures, data collection, annual reports, staffing plans, electronic surveillance, reporting to other confinement facilities, disciplinary procedures, knock and announcements, cross-gender supervision polices, and transgender/intersex accommodations.

The auditor reached out to the facility’s community resources by email to confirm the MOUs and scope of services. These community partners include representatives from
SANE of Butler County and the YWCA of Greater Dayton Area. The auditor was able confirm the services they would provide to residents free of charge.

On the final day of the audit, the auditor sat down with agency and facility leadership to review preliminary audit findings.

**Facility Characteristics**

The auditor’s description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

Dayton Residential Reentry Program is a halfway house in Dayton, Ohio that serves male felony offenders. The facility is a single level building that can house up to 120 offenders. To access the facility, all staff and visitors must be buzzed into the administrative lobby area. Staff can access all areas of the building with a key or electronic key card. Visitors entering the building must sign-in at the desk and sign a PREA acknowledgment form. Residents must sign in/out at the main control desk. Residents will receive a pat down on camera. Residents who need a urinalysis will be escorted to the UDS which will be collected by a staff member of the same sex.

The facility has five dorm units with three of the dorm areas all housed in the same ‘room” with wall dividers for each section. Each of the dorms have surveillance cameras. All dorms have camera surveillance. Residents are informed that they must dress in the bathroom. Within the three dorm room area is the resident bathroom. The bathroom is equipped with a toilet room area and a shower room area. The toilet area has four toilet stalls with doors and four urinals. The shower area has three single use showers with shower curtains. The shower curtains have clear tops and bottoms. There are two entrance points into the restroom that are open to the dorm area. There are two closed doors to the dorm/bathroom area that have a window. Staff knock and announce their presence when entering this area and females will again announce if they enter the bathroom area. The toilet and shower areas cannot be seen from the entrance of the bathroom. The two individual dorm rooms also have two entrance doors with windows. Vulnerable or transgender residents would be housed in one of these small dorm rooms.

Central control is able to address visitors, staff, and residents entering the facility, and residents that are in the dayroom area. Resident Supervisors man this desk 24-hours a
day. Along with addressing issues from residents and signing people in-and-out, they also watch the camera monitors. The dayroom is an open room with a television and recreation equipment. Surrounding the dayroom are staff offices, group rooms, exit to the rec yard, laundry room, and dining/kitchen area. All offices, group rooms, laundry room, and dining area have large windows for clear line of site views. The rec yard is surrounded by a twelve foot curved fence. Resident have free access to the rec yard during open hours without staff supervision. The facility contracts with Aramark for food service. The residents are assigned housing assignments in the kitchen. Aramark staff will supervise these residents and resident supervisor staff will provide additional supervision through continuous walk troughs and camera monitoring.

The facility has 56 indoor and outdoor cameras. The electronic monitoring equipment is video only and can record and playback for up to fourteen days. Leadership at the facility has the ability to live and playback the cameras at their desk tops. The facility is located within a complex that houses other confinement facilities of various security levels, including the sheriff’s department. The facility has one head count per shift plus one extra random count. Resident Supervisor staff are required to complete circulations every 15-30 minutes. The facility increases circulations in blind spot areas.

All dorms have camera surveillance. Residents are informed that they must dress in the bathroom.

The agency’s mission is to “reach and uplift all people and bring them to the knowledge and active service of God. Volunteers of America, illustrating the presence of God through all that we do, serves people and communities in need, and creates opportunities for people to experience the joy of serving others. Volunteers of America measures its success in positive change in the lives of individuals and communities we serve.”
**Summary of Audit Findings**

The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.

**Auditor Note:** No standard should be found to be “Not Applicable” or “NA”. A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

### Standards Exceeded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Standards Exceeded:</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List of Standards Exceeded:</td>
<td>115.231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Standards Met

Number of Standards Met: 40

### Standards Not Met

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Standards Not Met:</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List of Standards Not Met:</td>
<td>Click or tap here to enter text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.211 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the written policy outline the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.211 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy P100:08 states that Volunteers of America (VOA) has zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy requires each facility under the VOA umbrella to have procedures in place to prevent, detect, and respond to
sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and requires the agency maintains full compliance with the PREA federal guidelines and standards for community confinement.

The policy also requires the President/CEO to designate an agency-wide PREA Coordinator from upper-level management who has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee the agency’s efforts to comply with the PREA standards. The PREA Coordinator is responsible for:

- Acting as point of contact and reporting for an allegation of sexual assault or abuse or harassment and coordinating with staff trained to investigate allegations.
- Working with program leadership to develop and implement a training plan that fulfills the PREA training standards
- Monitoring resident screening procedures and investigations
- Overseeing internal audits
- Providing access to records to external auditors monitoring PREA compliance
- Working with Sexual Abuse Response Teams to analyze abuse data, conduct sexual abuse incident reviews and make recommendations for improvement
- Collecting and reporting outcomes of all PREA investigations at least annually
- Monitoring PREA outcome measures and reporting data to the PREA Community Compliance Corrections Liaison at ODRC
- Attend and participate in the quarterly PREA Coordinators meeting facilitated by the PREA Community Compliance Corrections Liaison at ODRC
- Participate in the annual policy review

According to the Table of Organization provided to the auditor, the agency-wide PREA Coordinator is the agency’s Quality Improvement Manager-Reentry Services. She works under the Director of Compliance, Quality Improvement, and Training. During an interview with the PREA Coordinator states that she has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee the agency’s efforts to comply with the Community Confinement PREA Standards. The Coordinator states that she is responsible for the facilities in both Indiana and Ohio. She has integrated the policies, procedures, and practices into one both states can use. She maintains continuity by working closely with each facility’s PREA Compliance Manager and monitoring visits to each facility.

The auditor was able to interview the PREA Coordinator’s direct supervisor. He is new to the position but not to the agency or understanding the need to ensure the PREA Coordinator has enough time and authority to implement appropriate policies, procedures, and practices, and maintain compliance with the PREA standards. He fully
supports the PREA Coordinator and will ensure she has everything she needs to maintain compliance.

The facility’s PREA Compliance Manager is the Facility Director. He is responsible for the day-to-day operations and compliance. He assists with the annual staffing plan review, trained to conduct administrative investigations into allegations unless it involves a staff member, participates on SART and will ensure recommendations are implemented, and collects statistical information needed for reporting to various agencies. He reports to the PREA auditor that he ensures that all staff and residents understand their rights, responsibilities, and rules regarding the agency’s zero tolerance policies. He enlists the help of the PREA Coordinator when necessary, and always errs on the side of safety for all residents and staff.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Agency Table of Organization
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
Interview with Director of Compliance, Quality Improvement and Training

**Standard 115.212: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.212 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity’s obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.212 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.212 (c)
If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

N/A: The PREA Coordinator reports to the auditor that the agency is a private not for profit agency and does not contract with other facilities to house offenders on behalf of the VOA.

Standard 115.213: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.213 (a)

- Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?
  - ☒ Yes ☐ No In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility?
    - ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - ☒ Yes ☐ No In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population?
    - ☒ Yes ☐ No
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? ☐ Yes ☒ No

115.213 (b)

In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.213 (c)

In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing staffing patterns? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
VOA policy P100:03 requires each residential reentry facility develops a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse. The policy requires the plan to be reviewed at least annually and updates as necessary. The policy requires the plan be developed and reviewed by the Program Director, in consultation with the executive leadership team and PREA Coordinator. The staffing plan is to include a calculation of adequate staffing levels and determination of the need for video monitoring; and will take into consideration:

- The physical layout of each facility, including consideration if the facility should plan any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities;
- The composition of the resident population
- The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse;
- Any other relevant factors

The policy requires the Program Director to document and justify all deviations from the staffing plan.

During the annual budget review, the Program Director is required to review and revise, if necessary, the staffing plan annually. The Program Director will assess:

- The prevailing staffing patterns
- The facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies
- The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels

The facility provided the auditor with a facility floor plan, camera view screenshots, and a copy of the facility’s most recent staffing plan, as well as copies from the previous years. The plan included:

Layout of the facility
- Blind spots and potential dead areas from camera view
- Physical barriers identified during PREA incidents (review/address by SART)
- Issues/concerns identified during weekly facility checks

Composition of residents
- Serves male offenders
- Average population (max 120 offenders)
• Risk assessment information is completed by case managers

Incidents of Sexual abuse
• Specific facility data
• Aggregated agency data
• Recommendations based on incident review
• Recommendation implementation

Deviations from staffing plan
• Staffing plan calls for at least three staff members to be in the facility 24-hours a day
• The facility will address any call-offs through on-call staffing

Staffing patterns are also reviewed during the staffing plan review. Agency policy calls for at least three staff members in the facility 24-hours a day that are able to respond to resident needs. Reentry Support Specialist (RSS) staff can be supplemented or assisted by program staff members in order to meet this ratio. Supervisory staff can also be used to augment staffing levels. The staffing plan reports that when a RSS reports off, the facility makes its best effort to replace that staff member with the use of management or on-call staffing.

The prevailing staffing pattern is as follows:

• 11pm – 7am Five Reentry Support Specialist
• 7am – 3pm Five Reentry Support Specialist
• 3pm – 11am Four Reentry Support Specialist

Program staff work Monday – Friday 8:00 am – 5:00 pm and this includes the administrative staff. All case managers are assigned to work noon-8 pm one day per week and rotating Saturdays. A Structured Activities Coordinator was hired to increase planned structured activities.

The facility has 52 cameras. These cameras are strategically located in common areas throughout the interior and perimeter of the facility (group rooms, classrooms, dayrooms, rec yard, smoke pits, hallways, and kitchen areas). Facility administrative staff have the ability to monitor cameras from their office. The monitoring system shows live views as well as playback of video and audio for 14-21 days. The auditor reviewed camera angles during the onsite visit and received a printout of each camera angle.
Standard 115.215: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.215 (a)
- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.215 (b)
- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
  - Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.215 (c)
- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.215 (d)
- Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the facility have procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.215 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident’s genital status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If a resident’s genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.215 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
- ☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- ☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:04 prohibits all strip searches, body cavity searches, and cross-gender enhanced pat-down searches of residents. The policy requires all staff who will be
responsible for conducting pat searches to be properly trained on pat searches, cross-
gender pat searches, and transgender/intersex pat searches. The policy states that cross-
gender pat searches are only performed in exigent circumstances. The policy describes
an exigent circumstance as any set of unforeseen circumstances that require immediate
action in order to combat a threat to the security or institutional order of a facility. The
policy specifically prohibits searches for the sole purpose of determining genitalia.

The policy requires all pat searches to be conducted within view of security cameras, and
cross-gender searches must receive prior approval from the Program Director or on-call
supervisor. Should a cross-gender search be warranted, the search must be documented
include:

- Full account of the incident and staff involved
- The exigent circumstance that necessitated the cross-gender search
- How and when supervisory approval was obtained
- The results of the search

As part of supportive documentation sent prior to the onsite visit, the auditor received and
reviewed the training curriculum provided to staff members who are responsible for
conducting pat searches. The training includes video on appropriate pat search
techniques for cross-gender and transgender searches, respectful communication with
LGBTI residents and safe management of LGBTI residents, and facilitated hands-on
training on pat search techniques. These training also include instructions on who to
conduct a pat search in a professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive
manner possible, consistent with security needs. As part of the agency’s training
program, Reentry Support Specialist staff receive this training during orientation and
annually thereafter.

Policy P100:10 ensures that residents are allowed appropriate levels of privacy while
showering, changing clothing, or performing bodily functions. Residents are able to
practices these without staff of the opposite gender viewing their buttocks or genitalia.
The policy requires staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering
areas where residents are likely to be showering, changing clothing, or performing bodily
functions.

During the onsite visit, the auditor was able to interview sixteen (16) of the one hundred-
twelve (112) residents. The auditor inquired about searches as well as cross-gender
announcements. All of the residents interviewed have received at least one pat search
during their stay at the facility. All of the male residents interviewed stated that at some
time during their stay, they have had a pat search by a male staff member. The male residents state that a security wand was used on them by a female staff member but never an actual pat search. When asked about cross-gender announcements, all residents stated that anytime a female staff enters the dorm area she announces herself before entering into the room. The residents report that female staff only enter the area of the bathroom where the sinks are located. None of the male residents interviewed reported any incidental viewing from a member of the opposite sex. The male dorm rooms have video cameras. Because of this, residents are not allowed to change in the dorms. During the tour portion of the onsite visit, the auditor was able to view the knock and announce practice. Some of the male residents made complaints about the way a particular staff member conducted urinalysis. The technique used by this staff member made them feel uncomfortable especially because no other staff member performed the search in the same way. No resident reported being sexually harassed or abuse, just uncomfortable. The auditor discussed the resident concerns with the PREA Coordinator and the Program Director. Both report that the way the staff member conducted the search is in line with agency and facility policy and practice.

The facility allows for residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and dress in areas not viewable to staff. Because the five dorm rooms sections have a window in the door and cameras inside, residents are instructed to dress in the bathroom and be completely dressed in all common areas of the facility. The bathroom in the facility has a toilet room with four toilet stalls with doors and four urinals with partitions and a shower room with three individual showers with curtains (have clear tops and bottoms). The entrance to the bathroom has two openings into the three dorm area. Staff cannot see residents use the bathroom or shower when looking into the bathroom from the entrance. Staff coming into the dorm and bathroom for security checks announce themselves before entering.

The auditor conducted Reentry Support Specialist interviews, including two lead Reentry Support Specialist. All staff interviewed indicated that they received annual training on how to conduct proper pat searches and to use the security wand to perform a pat search on a member of the opposite gender. The RSS staff report that it is not the practice of the facility to conduct cross gender pat searches. They all state that at no time do they conduct strip or body cavity searches. When questioned on how they were trained to conduct a cross-gender pat search, the RSS staff state that they will have the resident remove all items from their person, remove all outer layers of clothing (down to a single layer of street clothes), and have the resident shake their clothing at the waist. The RSS will then use a security wand to go over the person’s body. At no time during this type of search will the staff member touch the resident.
The auditor interviewed the Assistant Program Director during the onsite visit. The APD was questioned regarding the training and ongoing reviews of various pat searches. The APD reports that during onboarding and then annually, RSS staff are required to complete pat search training through Relias. The Relias training requires the staff member to pass a post-test after completing the training. She also reports that along with the Lead Reentry Supervisors, they conduct a training annually on same gender, cross-gender, and transgender/intersex pat searches as well as urinalysis. She also reports that she and the Lead Reentry Supervisors are required to conduct observations of RSS staff completing job tasks. During these observations, they inspect pat searches and conduct additional training when necessary. During the onsite visit, the auditor was able to view pat searches. The searches were conducted according to agency policy.

The Program Director reports that the facility has housed transgender residents; however, there is not one currently in the facility. The Director was able to discuss the facility’s plan to house transgender/intersex residents in the smaller dorm in the male unit in direct site of the camera. The Assistant Program Director states that all RSS staff are trained annually on the proper techniques including on how to be respectful and professional as possible when searching all residents.

The facility has housed a transgender resident. The resident has been housed at the facility on two separate occasions. The staff during interviews stated that on both occasions, the facility provided the resident with private shower times. The staff report that no issues or concerns about searches or showers were reported.

The auditor reviewed employee files and was able to verify staff training through training sign-in sheets and Relias course completion records.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Facility tour
Training curriculum
Training video
Training sign-in sheets
Course completion records
Resident interviews
Staff interviews
PREA Coordinator interview
Assistant Program Director interview
Program Director interview
Standard 115.216: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.216 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.216 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.216 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident’s safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident’s allegations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
VOA policy P100:07 requires accommodations be made for residents with disabilities or limited English proficiency in order to ensure all residents are informed of the agency’s zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, know how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, know their rights to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents.

The policy requires the Program Director or designee to ensure that special assistance is available for residents with language and literacy problems. During orientation, assigned staff will read and explain all rules and regulations of the program to the residents if needed, including information about sexual abuse/assault. Local service agencies will be contacted for further assistance if needed. There is no additional cost to the resident for any services provided.

The facility will:

- Contact local agencies or educational institutions with foreign language or literacy departments for assistance with residents who are limited English proficient
- Persons with learning disabilities or literacy issues will have all material read and explained in simple language
- Provide auxiliary aids for sensory-impaired residents

The policy does not allow for the facility to rely on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident’s safety, the performance of first responder duties, or the investigation of the resident’s allegations.

The facility provided the auditor with a list of possible agencies the facility can use should there be a resident who is limited English proficient:

- Miami Valley Interpreters
- Vocalink Language Service
- Global Communication Solutions
- Interpreters of the Deaf

The auditor was also provided with the copies of all materials, including a resident handbook, in Spanish.

The Supportive Services Manager (SSM) facility conducts orientation group for the residents. The SSM provides the residents with a PREA pamphlet (rape crisis
information), materials containing information on PREA, reporting options, how to keep safe, confidentiality, and the handbook. Staff provides one on one assistance with any resident that needs extra help. He reports that he would receive notice from intake personnel if assistance for a resident that was limited English proficient, deaf or hard of hearing, blind, or some other cognitive or physical disability that would prevent them from benefiting from the facility’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment would be needed.

The facility provided the auditor with documentation for residents that have received community assistance from Ohioans with Disabilities. The agency was able to assist residents with getting a new hearing aid and glasses.

The auditor interviewed all residents that were identified as having a reading, cognitive and/or sensory impairment, as well as any resident identified as being limited English proficient. No resident in the targeted category was in need of any additional services in order to benefit from the facility’s efforts to prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse or sexual harassment. All specialized resident interviewed where able to describe the PREA education provided to them at orientation group and knew all ways they were able to report an allegation.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interpreter services in Dayton, Ohio
Staff training curriculum
Documentation of resident assistance
Spanish language resident handbook
Targeted resident interviews
Interview with Program Director
Interview with Orientation group facilitator

**Standard 115.217: Hiring and promotion decisions**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.217 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? □ Yes ☒ No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (c)

- Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal State, and local law: Make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (h)

- Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Policy P100:01 prohibits the agency from hiring anyone, or enlisting the services of any contractor, to a position of direct contact with residents who has:

- Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution
- Has been convicted for engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied treats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse
- Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the previously described activities

The auditor was provided a copy of the agency’s employment application. The application, for both internal and external candidates, has a self-reporting question regarding allegations of sexual misconduct in the community and while working in an institution. While reviewing employee files, employees who completed applications within the agency’s online system had the self-reporting questions.

To ensure that the facility does not hire a prohibited applicant, the Human Resource department will screen all internal and external applicants to ensure they meet the requirements and that any reported background issues do not disqualify them.

Policy requires the Human Resource Department to:

- Consider prior convictions and allegations of sexual abuse or harassment, when making hiring decisions in accordance with PREA standard 115.217
- At facilities that contract with the Federal Bureau Of Prisons (FBOP), hiring is contingent on approval by the Residential Reentry Manager (RRM) and within the guidelines of the FBOP Statement of Work
- Consistent with Federal, State, and local laws, makes its best effort to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse
- Fingerprint checks will be submitted to the FBOP for an additional level of check for any candidate that works with FBOP offenders

The policy also states that material omissions regarding sexual misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, are grounds for termination.

The auditor reviewed employee files. The auditor was able to confirm initial and five-year background checks. All background checks were completed by the ADP. The
Human Resource Manager states that all VOA facilities have a contract with the FBOP that is renewed every five years. During the contract renewal, the FBOP requires all staff who have contact with FBOP offenders have an updated background check. All staff members, even those who have recently received a background check, will receive one. The agency uses this same time period to conduct background checks on staff that work in facilities that do not house FBOP offenders. The requirement ensures that all staff members have an updated background check every five years as required by the standard.

The Human Resource Department is also responsible for completing reference checks on all new employees. During the employee file review, the auditor made note that any employee that was hired after August of 2014 had a reference check that included notification of any PREA allegations.

The Program Director is responsible for the recruitment and interview process of all contractors and volunteers and have final approval regarding contractor and volunteer involvement. The policy holds potential contractors and volunteers to the same hiring standards as potential employees. Contractors and volunteers who have met qualification for service, are required to complete a self-reporting questionnaire concerning any allegations of sexual misconduct. Contractors and volunteers are prohibited from service if they do not meet any part of VOA’s hiring policy statement. Some contractors/volunteers, who due to criminal background exclusions cannot operate in the facility independently, may still be allowed access to the facility as long as they are supervised by staff at all times. The auditor was able to review a background check and self-reporting questionnaire for a contract/volunteer of the facility.

Due to COVID-19 protocols, the facility has limited the contact of contractors and volunteers.

Annually, employees at VOA are required to sign acknowledgement of the agency’s zero tolerance policies. The auditor was able to verify acknowledgement during the employee file review.

The Human Resource Manager reports that all request for employment verification for previous employees are referred to the Human Resource department for response. Unless prohibited, the agency will provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.
The auditor requested information concerning promotions and employee discipline. The HR Manager reports that all internal applicants for a job must complete an application, complete a sexual misconduct self-report form, and submit to another background check. If the employee passes the initial review of requirements, another interview will be completed and the potential supervisor will be made aware of any disciplinary problems.

During the file review, the auditor was able to review several files of employees who have been promoted to various positions within the facility. A review of the disciplinary reports for these staff members did not review any behavior that would prohibit them from working with the residents in any capacity. No employee whose file was reviewed had any disciplinary action that would prohibit them from working with residents.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee files
Employee background checks (initial and re-check)
Applications
Reference checks
Disciplinary records
Interview with Human Resource Manager

**Standard 115.218: Upgrades to facilities and technologies**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.218 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
  - □ Yes
  - □ No
  - ☒ NA

115.218 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring
technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy P100:03 states that when designing or acquiring any new facility or in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the Program Director and executive level leadership will consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency’s ability to protect residents from sexual abuse. The executive level leadership will solicit feedback from the agency’s PREA Coordinator to ensure sexual safety considerations have been made.

The PREA Coordinator reports that the facility has not acquired a new facility or had any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities.

The policy also states that when installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the Program Director and executive level leadership will consider how such technology may enhance the agency’s ability to protect residents from sexual abuse. Executive level leadership will solicit feedback from the agency’s PREA Coordinator to ensure sexual safety considerations have been made.

The facility has upgraded several cameras and has plans to upgrade the camera system.

The Vice President of Correctional Programs reports that all facilities will address electronic monitoring needs as the budget allows.

Review:
RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.221: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.221 (a)

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.221 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.221 (c)

- Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFE or SANEs? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.221 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.221 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.221 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.221 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.221 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
Policy P100:11 The Program Director ensures that their facility has a written *Response Plan and Evidence Protocol* in place, which is updated as necessary and approved by the PREA Coordinator. Each plan includes:

- Reporting process, including the creation and availability of call trees
- Actions to be taking by staff first responders
- Access to forensic medical examination
- Access to victim advocate
- Notification of local law enforcement, when necessary
- Protection measures in place to ensure that the alleged victim or resident who report incidents are not subject to retaliation

The agency ensures that investigations are conducted by properly trained individuals or local law enforcement who have the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations. Allegations that appear to be criminal in nature will be referred to Dayton Police Department or the Montgomery County Sheriff’s Department.

The facility does not have a Memorandum of Understanding with the facility to investigate all criminal allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment at the facility using a uniform evidence protocol adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011; however, the facility is located on the same property as the Montgomery County Sheriff’s Department and has had previous allegations investigated by both the sheriff’s department and the Dayton City Police Department.
The police department has not responded to the MOU. The PREA Coordinator says she has and will continue to refer all allegations that appear to be criminal in nature to the Dayton Police Department/Montgomery County Sheriff’s Department.

The agency has trained administrative investigators at each VOA facility that can conduct an administrative investigation with two staffed at this facility. The facility investigators will conduct investigations in allegations that involve resident abusers. Allegations that involve staff abusers will be investigated by agency administrative investigators who not work in a facility.

The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with SANE of Butler County. The MOU outlines the services SANE of Butler County will provide residents of the VOA. The services include:

- Sexual assault medical forensic examination
- Medications for the prevention of sexually transmitted infections
- Confidential services regardless of whether a victim reports the crime
- Referrals for 24-hour medical, legal, and court accompaniment, and personal advocacy

SANE of Butler County has partnered with Miami Valley Hospital to have all examinations take place at the hospital’s emergency department.

The auditor was able to communicate via email with a representative from SANE of Butler County post onsite visit. The representative verified the information found in the MOU and confirmed the partnership with Miami Valley Hospital and that services are offered free of charge. The representative states that all forensic examinations are conducted according to the Ohio Department of Health and Ohio Attorney General protocol for Treatment of Sexually Assaulted patients. She states that examiners are also able to provide expert witness testimony regarding the forensic standards and nursing scope of practice.

The MOU with SANE of Butler County also includes referral for advocate services. The representative states that the advocate will provide emotional support, advocacy, and assistance with reconstruction of a meaningful and functional life.

The auditor reviewed the website for SANE of Butler County verified the services offered by the organization, as well as their partnership with Miami Valley Hospital and Victim Services of Montgomery County.
The facility will ensure any resident needing emotional supportive services are connected with Victim Services of Montgomery County which provides these services free of charge.

The facility has provided the auditor with documentation of administrative investigator training.

Review:
Policy and procedure
MOU with SANE of Butler County
Email correspondence
Partner agencies websites
Training certificates
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.222: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.222 (a)**

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.222 (b)**

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.222 (c)**
If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.222 (d)

 Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.222 (e)

 Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy P100:14 requires administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual assault, abuse, and harassment in VOAOHIN residential reentry facilities. The agency is to ensure that investigations are conducted by properly trained individuals or local law enforcement following reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The agency post its investigatory policy on its website, [https://voa-production.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/pdf_file/file/453/Investigation_Protocols_VOAOHIN.pdf](https://voa-production.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/pdf_file/file/453/Investigation_Protocols_VOAOHIN.pdf) The website reports that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will be administratively investigated and if at any time the behavior appears to be criminal in nature, the facility will refer the allegation to the local legal authority. The criminal investigatory agency is responsible for referring allegations to the local prosecutor for any allegation deemed appropriate according to their agency policy.

The facility provide the auditor with the investigations for this audit cycle.
Investigation #1: A resident reported receiving a sexually harassing comment from a staff member. The administrative investigator interviewed the victim, witness, and alleged abuser. The alleged abuser admitting to making the comment when interviewed. The staff member stated that he meant it as a “flip” comment and was under a lot of stress. The staff member was placed on administrative leave during the investigation. The allegation was determined to be substantiated but not referred for a criminal investigation.

Investigation #2: A resident reporting receiving a sexual proposition from another resident. An administrative investigation was conducted and determined that the allegation was unsubstantiated. The investigator could not find any witness or any other corroborating evidence.

Investigation #3: A resident reported being touched in a sexual manner by another resident. Resident reporting feeling safe but uncomfortable. An administrative investigation was conducted into the allegation. The alleged abuser was interviewed and stated that the touching as incidental to him bumping into the victim and that he did not in any way mean to touch the victim inappropriately. The administrative investigator reviewed video and it appeared to confirm the alleged abuser story; however, since it was not clear as to the intent, the allegation was determined to be unsubstantiated. The residents were separated and had been told to steer clear of each other.

Investigation #4: During a random cell phone check, a staff member discovered another staff member’s phone number in a resident’s contact list. During the administrative investigation, there were several text messages from the staff member to the residents that were sexual in nature. The staff member was placed on administrative leave during the investigation. The resident was interviewed and confirmed the relationship but states that it was never physical. The staff member resigned during the course of the investigation and is no longer an employee of the facility. The victim was offered services but declined. There was no referral for a criminal investigation due to no evidence of criminal behavior.

Investigation #5: A resident reported that a staff member asked him to inappropriately touch two other residents. An administrative investigation was conducted and the victim, witnesses, and alleged abuser were all interviewed. Two witnesses were interviewed and stated that the resident victim was the one who made an inappropriate comment and not the staff member. A review of other evidence shows that the staff member in question had previously written up the alleged resident victim and the resident victim threatened to
write staff up in return for the violations. The investigator determined the allegation to be unfounded.

Investigation #6: During a cell phone check of a resident’s phone, it was discovered that a staff member had been exchanging messages with the resident via text and Facebook. The messages reviewed revealed an inappropriate relationship between the staff member and the resident. An administrative investigation took place and the staff member was placed on administrative leave during the investigation. Both the alleged victim and abuser denied having an inappropriate relationship. The staff member resigned from the facility during the investigation. The allegation was determined to be substantiated but not referred for criminal investigation.

Investigation #7: During a cell phone check of a resident’s phone, it was discovered that a staff member had been exchanging messages with a resident via text. The resident admitted to texting with the staff member, while the staff member initially denied the allegation. The allegation was determined to be substantiated but not referred for criminal investigation.

Investigation #8: A staff member reported that she was informed by a resident that another resident touched him inappropriately on his thigh and penis. An administrative investigation was conducted. The alleged abuser denied the allegation and alluded to him and the resident victim having a previous relationship and now the resident was upset because he had moved on. The residents were cautioned and counseled about not having relationships with other residents. There was no corroborating evidence to support the allegation. The allegation was determined to be unsubstantiated.

Investigation #9: The facility received a report from another confinement facility stating that a former resident reporting being sexually assaulted while at the VOA Dayton facility. A detective from the Special Victims Unit of Violent Crimes Bureau, City of Dayton conducted an interview of the victim, witnesses, and staff members. At the conclusion of the investigation, it was determined that no charges would be filed and that the case on the end of the City of Dayton Police Department would be closed. The investigation report was provided to the facility, and did not have any corroborating evidence to substantiated the allegation. The administrative investigation determined the allegation to be unsubstantiated.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Agency website
TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.231: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.231 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.231 (b)
- Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee’s facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.231 (c)
- Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency’s current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.231 (d)
- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
- ☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- ☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy P100:02 states that VOA of Ohio and Indiana (VOAOHIN) ensures that all members of the workforce at residential reentry programs who may have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures; and that members of the workforce receive all necessary ongoing training related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response. The policy defines workforce
as all individuals (employees, volunteers, interns, and contractors) who may have contact with residents if, within the scope of that person’s official or unofficial duties or privileges, it is reasonable foreseeable that the person will have physical, visual, or auditory contact with a resident over any period of time.

Staff complete training through an online training system (Relias) and through facilitated in-person training. The auditor was provided the agency’s training curriculum and training overview as well as a course completion list. The training topics include:

- Dynamics of sexual abuse of inmates
- Staff responsibility
- Victim response to sexual abuse
- Detecting and responding to signs of sexual abuse in inmates
- Red flags
- Mandatory reporting
- Culture (breaking the code of silence)
- Respectful communication practices with LGBTI inmates
- Safe management of LGBTIQ populations
- Agency zero tolerance policy
- Maintaining professional relationships
- Boundaries and dual relationships for paraprofessionals
- Working effectively with gender and sexual minorities
- Working with women offenders in correctional institutions

During onboarding training, staff receive PREA Introduction training. This training covers the origins of PREA, related definitions, mandatory reporting obligations, creating a reporting culture, retaliation, protection responsibilities, all types of searches, and ways residents can report. Training is given on both genders due to staff having contact with both male and female residents. Throughout the year, the PREA Coordinator uses the Relias training system to provide staff with “Brain Sparks.” These are a series of questions related to the PREA standards as refreshers to agency PREA policies.

Along with training that meets the requirements to standard 115.231, the agency also provides employees with training that also improves the facility’s ability to prevent, detect, respond, and report incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This training includes:

Guideline for Workplace Conduct
• No fraternization  
• Professional integrity  
• Conflicts of interest  
• Non-reprisal for reporting  
• Scope of practice  
• Termination offense  

Whistleblower Policy  
Anti-Harassment Policies  
Personnel Policies  
Zero Tolerance Policies  
  • Definitions  
  • Prevention strategies  
  • Methods of reporting  
  • Detection/recognition  
  • Crisis intervention  
  • Evidence preservation  

Standards of Conduct  
Grievance Procedures  
Conditions of Employment  
Site specific on the job Training  
  • Security  
  • Policy manual  
  • Culture  
  • PREA Intake Assessment  
  • Community Agency Partnerships  

Confidentiality/Limits to Confidentiality  
Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964  
Professional Client/Staff Relationships  

The auditor reviewed employee files during the onsite visit. During the file review, the auditor was able to verify staff received the additional training and policies and procedures through signed and dated acknowledgments.  

The auditor was able to interview treatment, security, and management staff during the onsite visit. All interviewed staff were questioned on the training they received during onboarding and annually concerning PREA. The staff report receiving training this year through the Relias online training system as well as specific training that related to the agency’s zero tolerance policies. All staff felt comfortable with the level of training on
how to manage all residents safely and professionally; reporting allegations of sexual abuse, harassment, and/or retaliation; first responder duties and the coordinated response plan; and identifying red flags.

A second shift Lead Reentry Support Specialist reports that while conducting on-the-job training with new staff members, he stresses the importance of boundaries, adhering to the code of ethics policies, and reporting any issues and concerns to the supervisor immediately.

The PREA Coordinator reports that training is tracked through the training department through the Relias system and that she specifically tracks PREA training. She states that system is set up to provide reminders to herself as well as to supervisors for employees who have not completed mandatory training. She will notify the employee’s supervisor when staff has not completed the PREA training and the completion date is nearing.

As part of compliance documentation, the auditor received Relias training curriculum, VOA PREA policies, Relias course records, training sign-in sheets, and orientation training materials. The training curriculum provided goes beyond the minimum requirements of the standards. The course history review shows the staff have completed the required training annually. The auditor was also provided with updated policy acknowledgements for all facility staff.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Relias training curriculum
Training sign-in sheets
Course completion records
Policy acknowledgements
Interview with staff
Interview with PREA Coordinator

Standard 115.232: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.232 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.232 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.232 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

VOAOHIN policy P100:02 ensures that all members of the workforce at residential reentry programs who may have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures; and that members of the workforce receive all necessary ongoing training related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response. The policy defines workforce as all individuals (employees, volunteers, interns, and contractors) who may have contact with residents if, within the scope of that person’s official or unofficial duties or privileges, it is reasonable foreseeable that the person will have physical, visual, or auditory contact with a resident over any period of time.

The policy states that the Program Director ensures that all contractors, interns, and volunteers are properly trained on necessary and pertinent topics prior to unsupervised contact with residents. The level and type of training provided to volunteers, interns, and
The Program Director is responsible for maintaining documentation confirming their understanding the training they received.

Aramark provides the facility with meal services which includes Aramark staff preparing meals at the facility. All Aramark staff are given the agency’s policy on zero tolerance and instructions on how to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

All visitors that enter the facility are required to sign a PREA Zero Tolerance Acknowledgement including the auditor.

The auditor was able to review the signed training acknowledgement from contractors and volunteers. The facility does not have any volunteers at this time due to COVID-19 protocols which have not been fully lifted.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Contractor/volunteer acknowledgement
Volunteer Standards of Conduct

Standard 115.233: Resident education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.233 (a)

- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.233 (b)

Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.233 (c)

Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.233 (d)

Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.233 (e)

In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination
Policy P100:07 Requires each resident admitted to a VOAOHIN residential reentry program to receive information on the agency’s zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents, and regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents. Accommodations will be made for residents with disabilities or limited English proficiency to ensure access to information and resources.

The policy requires the facility to:

- Provide all new intakes and transfers with a resident handbook that contains information on the agency’s policies and procedures related to sexual abuse and harassment; and sign an acknowledgement of receipt
- Additional information is provided to the new residents during facility orientation group conduct by the Program Director or designee
- Key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats
- Information to be read aloud if a resident has identified or is known to have limited literacy skills. Interpreters (technology or nonresident) are made available for those who are limited English proficient, deaf, or visually impaired. Translations in a client’s main language is provided whenever possible

The policy also requires an assigned staff member to review the facility’s “Intake Packet” with the resident within 24-hours of the resident’s arrival. The review will also include sexual abuse and sexual harassment information including VOAOHIN’s zero tolerance policy, reporting, medical care, advocacy, and mental health resources.

The facility provided the auditor with a copy of the resident’s handbook, intake packet, and PREA reporting posters (English and Spanish). The handbook describes the agency’s zero tolerance policy, the specific types of behavior that constitutes sexual harassment or sexual abuse, how a resident can report sexual harassment or sexual abuse (verbally to any staff member, contractor, or volunteer; anonymously to a third party hotline; in writing, or through a family member or friend), advocate, medical and mental
health services that are available free of charge, and the limits of confidentiality where reporting allegations are concerned. The handbook contains contact information for third party agencies as well as in house toll free phone numbers.

The intake packet contains a brochure that contains information on how a resident can keep themselves safe, national, state, and local advocate agencies contact information (address and phone numbers), reporting options, and available services. The residents are also given a PREA handout that has recently been updated. This handout gives a history of the PREA act, definitions of prohibited behaviors, risk screening, use of screening information, reporting options, and available resources.

The Supportive Services Manager (SSM) conducts orientation group for the residents. The group facilitator states that he provides the residents with a PREA pamphlet (rape crisis information), materials containing information on PREA, reporting options, how to keep safe, confidentiality, and the handbook. The SSM reports that he ensures that every residents understands what behavior could be considered PREA and all the ways they can report incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. He states that should a resident need special assistance to understand all the benefits provided under that PREA standards, he will ensure that assistance is provided (see standard 115.116). At the conclusion of each orientation group, the residents are required to complete a post-test at the completion of all orientation sessions. The post-test includes questions on ways to report allegations.

The auditor also interviewed sixteen residents during the onsite visit. The residents were questioned on the information they received concerning PREA at intake and orientation group. The residents reported receiving information on reporting, free medical services, confidentiality, sanctions, and searches. Most of the residents spoke to the auditor about receiving previous education from their parent institution. The residents were able to discuss the location of PREA informational posters and the information on the posters. All the residents felt the facility did an exceptional job at ensuring they understood their right to be free from sexual harassment and sexual abuse. No resident reporting feeling unsafe.

Resident files were reviewed by the auditor. The auditor was able to verify residents’ acknowledgement of receiving PREA information during intake and attending orientation group. The auditor also reviewed the session summary for the PREA orientation class where residents have to identify different elements learned from the sessions. The files also contained the orientation post-test.
During the tour of the facility, the auditor noted various posters in English and Spanish throughout the facility. The posters provided information to residents, visitors, and staff on how to report allegations and phone numbers to reporting agencies.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident intake packet
Resident handbook
Orientation group materials
Resident PREA acknowledgement
PREA brochure
PREA posters (English and Spanish)
Resident files
Interview with residents
Interview with Orientation group facilitator

Standard 115.234: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.234 (a)
- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

115.234 (b)
- Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA
Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a.).)
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.234 (c)

Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a.).)
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.234 (d)

 Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:02 and P100:14 requires staff with administrative investigation responsibilities receive Specialized PREA investigation training prior to conducting an investigation. Training is required to be provided by a qualified provider using an approved curriculum that includes:

- Techniques for interviewing sex abuse victims
- Proper use of Miranda and Garity warnings
- Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings
- Criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral
The auditor was provided the Sexual Assault Investigation Training curriculum used to train staff on administrative investigations. The Curriculum and training was provided by the Massachusetts Department of Corrections and includes:

- Defining PREA allegations
- Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
- Interviewing victims and suspected perpetrators
- Investigative outcomes
- Documentation
- Post allegation tracking and monitoring

The training was appropriate for the requirements of this standard. The PREA Coordinator and another staff member were trained on how to be an instructor for administrative investigator training. She facilitates training and refresher training for VOA staff using this curriculum.

The facility has two trained administrative investigators and the agency has a total of fourteen trained investigators. The auditor interviewed available investigators during the onsite visit. They discussed the techniques learned from the training including understanding the spectrum of trauma as it related to resident victims, collaborating with other investigators, providing justifications of investigation outcomes, and preserving evidence for collection.

Because the facility is part of a private, non-profit agency, the rules to Garity and Miranda do not apply; however, the investigators report to the auditor that when an allegation involves a staff member, the PREA Coordinator will take the lead in the investigation and refer to the local police department if the allegation seems to be criminal in nature. The administrative investigation will resume after a criminal investigation or with permission from the legal authority.

The PREA Coordinator reports that the agency has a total of fourteen (14) trained administrative investigators. The Coordinator was provided with the training certificates for all administrative investigators. She also reports that administrative investigators are prohibited from conducting criminal investigations.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Administrative investigator training curriculum
Administrative investigator training certificates
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with administrative investigators

Standard 115.235: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.235 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.235 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.235 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA
115.235 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Dayton Residential Reentry Program does not employ or contract with medical or mental health practitioners. The PREA Coordinator reports that a community provider meets all residents’ medical and mental health needs.

Since the implementation of COVID-19 protocols, the facility has been able to over telehealth and some onsite services (community providers coming into the facility) for medical and mental health needs.

Review:
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS**

**Standard 115.241: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness**
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.241 (a)

- Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (b)

- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (c)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident’s criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on
the screener’s perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident’s own perception of vulnerability? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (e)

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident’s arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? ☐ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (g)

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Request? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident’s risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (h)

- Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.241 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident’s detriment by staff or other residents? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:06 requires all VOAOHIN operated residential reentry facilities to assess all residents for risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness during intake, upon transfer from another facility, at 30-days after arrival, and as warranted thereafter. The policy requires the assessment tool to be objective and consider, at a minimum, the following criteria:

- Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability
- The age of the resident
- The physical build of the resident
- Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated
- Whether the resident’s criminal history is exclusively nonviolent
- Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child
- Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming
- Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization
- The resident’s own perception of vulnerability
- Prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse
The policy does not allow for residents to be disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to questions asked.

Policy P100:15 states the program implements appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to PREA Screening questions to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident’s detriment by staff or other residents.

The auditor was able to interview two case managers during the onsite visit. The case managers discussed their process for interviewing a resident for the initial, rescreening, and collecting information to ensure classification of risk is correct.

The case managers report that prior to initiation of the screening instrument, they will review the purpose of the assessment and that all information provided will be limited to staff who will be responsible for ensuring safety protocols are followed. After scoring the instrument, they will inform the Assistant Program Director if there is any need for safety accommodations. The case managers state that they have the ability to override the score if collateral information dictates a necessity. When asked, the case managers report reading each question to the resident and providing explanations, examples, or “plain language” when necessary.

The case managers report that they received appropriate training on how to use the instrument and the reassessment form during case management 101. The case managers state the assessment is completed within 72 hours of the resident’s arrival to the facility and a reassessment before the 30-day mark. The case managers also discussed conducting assessments on residents after a substantiated or unsubstantiated abuse allegation.

Periodic reviews are conducted on initial (72-hour) and 30 day reassessments. The review is looking for timeliness, accuracy, and referrals.

The auditor was given a copy of the risk assessment instrument. The instrument meets the requirement of being objective and including all required criteria per this standard. The screening instrument uses a scoring system to assess the resident a risk classification. Classification categories are:

- Known victim
- Potential victim
- Non-victim
- Known predator
- Potential predator
• Non-predator

The auditor interviewed sixteen residents during the onsite visit. The residents have had an initial assessment and some interviewed had both an initial and a reassessment. The residents agreed they receive an assessment and an explanation of the assessment was given to them. The residents that have received a reassessment stated that their case manager did two assessments where they asked the same questions during the second assessment. All residents report that the case manager read the questions to them and that they understood the purpose for the assessment. No resident reporting feeling uncomfortable with the questions or refusing to answer.

The auditor also reviewed resident files. The files contained initial risk assessments for all residents as well as reassessments for residents who have been at the facility for more than thirty days. The dates marked on the assessments show all assessments have been conducted within the specified time period. All resident files are locked in a secure location accessible to case managers and management staff.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Initial risk assessment
Reassessment
Resident files
Interview with case managers
Interview with residents

Standard 115.242: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.242 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ☑ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? ☑ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.242 (b)

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.242 (c)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? ☑ Yes ☐ No

- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.242 (d)

- Are each transgender or intersex resident’s own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.242 (e)

- Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.242 (f)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for
the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:06 requires the facility to use risk screening information to ensure the safety of each resident and to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive.

Policy P100:15 describes how the facility will use the screening information. It states:

- Lead Reentry Support Specialist will consider the assessed risk level when assigning residents to dorms and bed assignments; with the intention of keeping those at high risk of victimization separate from those at high risk for abusiveness
• When making decisions for resident assignments, the Program Director makes assignments that assure that residents at high risk of victimization are separated from residents at high risk of abusiveness.

• Individualized determinations for resident assignments are made by the Program Director to ensure the safety of each resident.

The Program Director has identified the facility’s plan to keep separate residents identified as high risk of victimization from those identified as high risk of abusiveness. He states that they use housing units and bed selection for separation. The dorms have cameras that are also used as a way to monitor high risk residents. The APD has a “Bed Assignment Tracking Chart” to quickly locate all bed assignments for residents that have been identified as at risk for abusiveness or victimization. As for programming, work assignments, and education, he states that as much as possible they do not assign residents with opposing risk classifications to the same schedule. If they are scheduled at the same time, staff is aware in order to ensure the residents are as separate as possible during that time.

The Assistant Program Director reports to the auditor that residents will be moved to a bed that is in direct site of a camera at any time during the residents stay if that resident needs to be monitored more closely by RSS staff.

The case managers both described offering residents’ community assistance to deal with any underlying issues identified during the risk assessment. The facility has partnered with Empowered for Excellence for mental health services.

Policy P100:15 declare that programs do not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis identification or status, unless such placement is in a dedicated facility unit or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting such residents.

The agency recognizes that residents that who identify as transgender or intersex are at greater risk of being sexually abused and therefore, the Program Director or designee will consider the following when determining housing and program assignments:

• Whether a placement would ensure the resident’s health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security problems, especially when determining whether to assign transgender or intersex resident to a facility or dorm for male or female residents.
- The resident’s own view with respect to his or her own safety
- The opportunity to shower separately from other residents

The Assistant Program Director reports that the facility has housed a transgender resident. She states that facility leadership met prior to placement to ensure that a proper safety plan was in place before the resident arrived. The team considered how the resident identified, stage of transition (safety), and all concerns the resident presented, before deciding on the most appropriate housing unit. The auditor was able to review documentation of the resident’s risk assessments, safety plan notes, and concerns addressed by the resident.

The Assistant Director reports that the resident did not stay at the facility long due to medical issues.

There were no residents that identified as gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex residents present during the onsite visit.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interview with case managers
Interview with Program Director
Interview with Assistant Program Director
Interview with Supportive Services Manager
Standard 115.251: Resident reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.251 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.251 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.251 (c)

- Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.251 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

VOAOHIN policy P100:16 ensures that residents have multiple internal and external ways to privately report allegations of sexual abuse, assault, harassment incidents. Residents are not restricted to reporting such allegations via the agency’s complaint and grievance procedures. Residents are encouraged to report allegations of sexual assault, abuse, or harassment through the following established methods:

- The agency’s toll-free hotline which is monitored by the PREA Coordinator
- The agency’s email report link
- The State of Ohio’s toll-free hotline
- The local numbers for Montgomery County and Dayton area
- The local number and address for the DPCS
- Federal Bureau of Prison’s toll-free hotline
- Verbally or in writing to any staff member, contractor, or volunteer

The auditor verified that the methods available to residents and staff where posted in various areas throughout the facility and listed in the resident handbook. Residents can use the phones in the housing units or their own personal cell phone to report an allegation to the available hotline numbers. Residents can also speak directly to any staff member, including having a private meeting, or complete a grievance form to report an allegation.

The auditor contacted the outside hotline number to verify the process. The caller is instructed to leave a message with details of the allegation, that the caller can remain anonymous, and the all allegations will be investigated. The auditor received a return phone call from Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections, Bureau of Community Sanctions PREA Community Corrections Compliance Liaison. She verified receiving the auditor’s call and ensuring all calls are taken seriously. She states that the hotline number has not received a call from this facility during the past twelve months.
The auditor has also tested the internal hotline number that is posted on the agency’s website as one of the third party reporting options as well as to the residents on facility posters. The number immediately rings to the PREA Coordinator.

During the onsite visit, the auditor was able to see various posting in English and Spanish informing the residents of the phone numbers, website address, and email address to internal and external reporting entities. The auditor tested both the toll-free hotline number and the email report link to ensure residents could use these options to report allegations.

During the onsite visit, the auditor interviewed sixteen (16) residents. The residents were asked questions in accordance with the PREA Compliance Audit Instrument guide and the Auditor Handbook Guide for Effective Strategies for Interviewing Staff and Residents. This includes questions on ways a resident can report, private and anonymous reporting, and how residents received information on reporting methods. The residents were able to recite all reporting options including reporting anonymously. The residents were also able to identify the location of reporting posters. A few of the residents interviewed were new to the facility and did not have a personal cell phone. The residents reported being able to go to the front desk to make free phone calls or being able to borrow a phone from other residents.

The facility had several allegations that were reported by residents. These allegations were reported verbally to staff members, and all received an administrative investigation.

According to the employee handbook, staff, once aware of any behavior that is in violation of VOA’s Professional Client/Staff Relationship policies, must immediately report such behavior to their immediate supervisor. Failure to report could implicate staff as complicit in the behavior and share in responsibility.

All staff interviewed during the onsite visit were able to discuss the various ways that staff, residents, or those outside the agency could report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The staff stated they felt comfortable privately reporting to the Program Director or the Assistant Program Director.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee Handbook
PREA brochure
PREA posters
### Standard 115.252: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.252 (a)
- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.252 (b)
- Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.252 (c)
- Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.252 (d)
- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the
90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal. (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

### 115.252 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident’s decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

### 115.252 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
• After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

• Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency’s determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

• Does the initial response document the agency’s action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

• Does the agency’s final decision document the agency’s action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.252 (g)

• If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

While the agency does not restrict residents to reporting allegations through the facility’s grievance procedure, the agency does have a policy regarding grievances. Policy P100:16 prohibits the facility from imposing a time limit on when a resident may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. The facility also may not require a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, and ensures that a resident who submits a grievance alleging sexual abuse, assault, or harassment have to submit the grievance to a staff member who is the subject of the grievance.
Policy states the facility has ninety-days within the initial filing to issue a decision on the grievance. Should the facility need an extension of time to respond, the facility shall notify the resident in writing of such extension. The extension time shall not exceed seventy-days. Should the resident not receive a response in the allotted time, including any properly notice extension, the resident may consider the absence of a response to be a denial.

The policy allows for third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist resident in filing request for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and will also be permitted to file such request on behalf of residents. However, the alleged victim must agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.

If an allegation alleges fear of substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the policy requires the agency to immediately forward the grievance to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken. The initial response will be given within forty-eight hours and a final decision within five calendar days. The facility will document the action taken in response to the emergency grievance.

The grievance procedure is given to the residents through the resident handbook. Page eight of the resident handbook states:

- Residents are encouraged to use pro-social skills in resolving concerns or complaints
- Residents have a right to due process in filing a complaint or grievance
- No resident will be harassment nor will punitive action be taken for filing a complaint or grievance
- Residents can obtain a complaint form from any staff member
- All complaints or grievances must use this form
- Complaints or grievances for sexual abuse can be filed at any time regardless of when the incident occurred
- The resident will be provided with an *Acknowledgment of Complaint* within three business days
- Review with the resident the outcome of the complaint within 10 calendar days of receipt of the complaint
- If a resident does not wish to write a complaint or grievance, they can verbally report the issue to staff and request the staff member write the complaint
• Third-parties such as fellow staff, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates can assist in completing and submitting a complaint
• Residents may also call the complaint hotline at 614-253-6100 ext. 1535
• If a sexual abuse allegation complaint or grievance is filed in bad faith, the resident may be disciplined for the false report
• A resident can file a report with an outside regulatory agency (multiple outside agency addresses and phone numbers listed)

The auditor viewed signed acknowledgements of receiving a copy of the grievance policy during the resident file review.

During resident interviews, all residents stated they understood the grievance policy. During the resident interviews, all residents were questioned on their use of the grievance system. The residents that stated that they have used the grievance system reported receiving an acknowledgement of their grievance within 1-2 days and that all grievances were responded to appropriately.

The Assistant Program Director is responsible for responding to grievances. Each of the staff members report responding to grievances within the same day if possible but no longer than 48 hours. She reports that most of the grievances are actually complaints but that each one is responded to appropriately. She states that should a resident want to file a grievance but not want to file it within the facility, the resident handbook provides the residents with contact information for state regulatory agencies.

Of the nine investigations reviewed during this audit cycle, none were reported through the grievance system.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident handbook
Orientation group
Resident files
Resident interviews
Interview with Assistant Program Director

**Standard 115.253: Resident access to outside confidential support services**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.253 (a)

- Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.253 (b)

- Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.253 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

VOAOHIN policy P100:07 requires the residents with access to outside victims’ advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing address and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations, and by
enabling reasonable communication between residents and these organizations. The facility is required to inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws.

The facility provided the auditor with brochures given to the residents during intake. The brochure provides the name, contact numbers, and mailing address of local, state, and national rape crisis organizations. The brochure also reminds the residents that communication between these organizations will be provided in the most confidential manner as possible; however, there are some limits to confidentiality for mandated reporters.

The facility provides the name and address of the YWCA of Greater Dayton for outside victims’ advocacy and emotional support services. The agency is local and offers sexual assault services. A review of the website states that YWCA Dayton is accredited as a Rape Crisis Center that operates a 24-hour, year-round crisis hotline. All services are free and confidential. The services listed include:

- Support by trained, trauma informed, confidential advocates
- Emotional support and counseling
- Information and referral
- Victim advocacy
- Crisis intervention
- Criminal justice/legal advocacy
- Education

The auditor contacted the YWCA of Greater Dayton post onsite visit via phone. The representative from the YWCA was able to confirm that the agency was capable of providing any resident victim of sexual abuse a rape crisis advocate. The representative states that the agency is certified by the Ohio Alliance to End Sexual Violence and is a local affiliate of the Ohio Sexual Violence Helpline and a certified national affiliate of RAINN. She states that should a resident at the Dayton VOA call the state or national hotline, the call will be referred to this agency for local services.

In addition to the information listed in the PREA brochure provided to the residents, the facility also has advocacy posters throughout the facility in conspicuous places. The posters are in English and Spanish and contain information residents would need to contact local, state, or national rape crisis agencies.
*The national rape crisis advocacy organization, RAINN, does not keep record of calls into the center. All calls are anonymous and callers are forwarded to their local rape crisis agency.

While no resident at the facility has requested emotional supportive services, all residents were offered emotional supportive services after each allegation.

Review:
Policy and procedure
PREA brochure
PREA posters
Phone communication with YWCA of Greater Dayton
YWCA of Greater Dayton Area website
Investigation reports

**Standard 115.254: Third-party reporting**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.254 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
Policy P100:11 requires the facility to distribute public information on how to report sexual abuse and harassment on behalf of a resident. The policy also states that should the facility receive a third-party report of incidents of sexual abuse, assault, or harassment occurred within the facility, the information will be immediately reported to the Program Director of the facility.

The auditor reviewed the agency website, [https://www.voaohin.org/residential-reentry](https://www.voaohin.org/residential-reentry), and was able to see the posted information on how a third party can report an allegation. The facility has posted in conspicuous places including where visitors would frequent, notices on how a person can make a third party report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of a resident. The poster includes:

- VOAOHIN Hotline- 855-297-1492
- DPCS Hotline- 614-728-3399
- Local Hotline for Montgomery County- 937-223-5623
- Hotline for Dayton area WYCA- 937-222-7233
- National Hotline R.A.I.N.N.- 800-656-4673
- VOAOHIN email- reportsline@voago.org
- Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction email-DRC.ReportSexualMisconduct@odrc.state.oh.us

The auditor noted the various locations of reporting posters including public locations during the facility tour.

The auditor contacted the outside hotline number to verify the process. The caller is instructed to leave a message with details of the allegation, that the caller can remain anonymous, and the all allegations will be investigated. The auditor received a return phone call from Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections, Bureau of Community Sanctions PREA Community Corrections Compliance Liaison. She verified receiving the auditor’s call and ensuring all calls are taken seriously. She states that the hotline number has not received a call from this facility during the past twelve months.

The auditor has also tested the email like provided to residents and third-party reporters on their website. The auditor received a return email from the agency’s PREA Coordinator within 24 hours.
The facility received one third-party allegation during this audit cycle. The allegation was reported by another confinement facility. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be unsubstantiated.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Agency website
Facility posters
Outside hotline number
VOAOHIN email report link
Investigation report

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING A RESIDENT REPORT

Standard 115.261: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.261 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.261 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.261 (c)
Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner’s duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.261 (d)

If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.261 (e)

Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility’s designated investigators? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:11 states that staff must report any knowledge of an incident of sexual assault, abuse, harassment, or retaliation to the Program Director of their facility immediately. The Program Director will then report the incident to the PREA Coordinator immediately. If the incident involves the Program Director, staff must report the incident to the Senior Vice President of Reentry Programs who is then responsible for conveying the report to the PREA Coordinator. Alternatively, staff may report allegations or suspicions directly to the PREA Coordinator or a trained PREA investigator within the agency. The policy states that staff will not reveal information related to such reports except to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.
During staff interviews, staff reported to the auditor that they are reminded during monthly training meeting of their obligation to report all reports, suspicions, and incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The staff report that are required to immediately report to their supervisor or the Program Director. Some staff interviewed stated to the auditor that besides reporting incidents reported to them by residents, they have reported suspicions in the past. One case manager pointed out that the Program Director and Assistant Program Director have created a “see something, say something” culture.

The auditor also reviewed investigations that were the results of staff members conducting cell phone checks and reporting information that led the staff member to believe sexual misconduct was taking place.

The employees are trained during onboarding and receive this information in the employee handbook. The handbook states that failure to report a violation or take appropriate action can subject the employee to disciplinary action. Any suspected violation or attempted violation of the PREA standards must be reported immediately to the appropriate supervisory personnel.

The auditor reviewed employee files during the onsite visit. The files contained signed acknowledgments of receiving the following information:

- Client confidentiality
- Code of ethics
- VOA culture
- Employee handbook
- PREA training, including reporting requirements
- PREA zero tolerance policies

Case management staff and staff with licensure report informing residents of their obligation to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and other limitations of confidentiality.

The facility does not accept residents that are under the age of eighteen and therefore does not have a duty to report to child protective services. However, this policy does require that the PREA Coordinator report all allegations to the designated state or local services agency should the victim be under the age of eighteen or a vulnerable adult.
No allegations were made from, on the behalf of, or against anyone that would be identified as a youthful offender or vulnerable adult.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee files
Interview with staff

Standard 115.262: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.262 (a)

- When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:12 states that the agency has procedures in place to protect at risk residents from sexual abuse and prevent retaliation against residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations. The protection measures include, but are not limited to dorm moves, facility reassignments, electric monitoring, and close observation of alleged victim or perpetrator.
The PREA Coordinator reports that should the allegation be against a staff member, the agency practice is to place the staff member on administrative leave. Depending on the type and severity of the allegation, the facility also has the option of assigning that staff member to another facility during the investigation. As far as protection methods used for residents, the Coordinator states that the type of protection will depend upon the situation.

The auditor was able to review report documentation from incidents within the facility that required protection measures. The facility has placed staff members on leave during investigations as well as ensured that residents were separated from one another when the allegation was against another resident.

The facility uses protection measures to ensure safety in all situations of possible abuse, bullying, or retaliation.

The facility has not received a report that any resident was at risk for imminent abuse.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Program Director
Investigation reports

---

**Standard 115.263: Reporting to other confinement facilities**

*All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report*

115.263 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.263 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.263 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.263 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Policy P100:11 has a procedure for reporting to other confinement facilities.

- Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the staff will notify the Program Director
- The Program Director will notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency when the alleged abuse occurred
- The notification will be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation
- The agency will document that it has provided such notification
- Should the facility receive an allegation from another confinement facility about a former resident, the resident will conduct an investigation into the allegation

The PREA Coordinator reports to the auditor to that the facility has not received an allegation from a resident that they would need to report to another confinement facility. Should the facility need to report an allegation to another confinement facility, the PREA Coordinator states that the Program Director would document the report and forward it to her.

She reports that the facility has received an allegation from another confinement facility that was investigated. The allegation was also investigated by the City of Dayton Police.
Department. The allegation was not sent for prosecution due to no corroborating evidence. The administrative investigation was determined to be unsubstantiated.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation report
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.264: Staff first responder duties**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

**115.264 (a)**

- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.264 (b)**

- If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (RequiresCorrectiveAction)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:11 requires Program Directors ensure that their facility has a written Response Plan and Evidence Protocol in place, which is updated as necessary and approved by the agency PREA Coordinator. The plan must include provision for the following:

- Separating the alleged victim and abuser
- Preserving and protecting any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken by local law enforcement to collect any evidence
- If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, staff request/ensure that the victim and abuser not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence including washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating
- If the first staff member is not a Resident Supervisor, the staff shall notify the Resident Supervisor on duty

The facility provided the auditor with a copy of the facility’s Response Plan and Evidence Protocol. The specific facility protocol includes:

- Separate the alleged victim and abuser. Locations include the conference room hallway, conference room, or a group room. All would be easily under staff supervision until law enforcement arrived, if needed
- Preserve and protect any crime scene until the appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence by law enforcement
- If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allowed for the collection of physical evidence, request the alleged victim not take any action that could destroy physical evidence including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating
- Report the incident to the Program Director
If the Program Director is unavailable, report the incident to the on call manager. The supervisor who received the report will call the local police department to refer the incident for investigation.

All facility staff are trained on first responder duties (security and non-security staff). The duties are reviewed during onboarding training and reviewed during staff meetings. The auditor was provided training curriculum and course completion records.

During interviews of targeted and random staff members, all staff was able to relay the first responder duties should there be an incident of sexual abuse. Staff report being trained on the coordinated response plan protocols annually. Program and security staff are trained on the same protocols.

Once an allegation is reported, the staff first responder is required to document their actions on an *Unusual Incident Report*. This report documents how the victim and alleged abuser were separated, if the scene was secure for law enforcement officers, if the victim was offered victim advocate/rape crisis services, and if a SANE/SAFE was contacted for medical attention.

The facility has not had an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment during this audit cycle that required protection of a crime scene or calls to medical personnel. The facility had one allegation that had a criminal investigation and all offers for rape crisis/emotional supportive services were declined by residents after an allegation was made.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Response Plan and Evidence Protocol
Training curriculum
Course completion records
Interview with staff
Investigation reports

**Standard 115.265: Coordinated response**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.265 (a)**
Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:11 requires the facility to have a plan in place to coordinate actions taken by staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and agency leadership in response to reported incident of sexual assault, abuse, or harassment. The facility posts its Coordinated Response Plan and Evidence Protocol in all staff control post. The states that:

- Staff will immediately implement first responder duties (see standard 115.264)
- Report the incident to the local police department and state or local service agencies as appropriate to refer the incident for investigation
- Offer the victim access to a forensic medical examination
- If the resident request, provide a victim advocate from the rape crisis center but if none are available, contact the qualified staff member to perform emotional support duties
- The victim advocate will accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews, and will provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information and referrals
- Document all activities
- Monitor resident for ninety days following the report

During staff interviews, staff were able to tell the location of the Response Plan and Evidence Protocol. The plan outlines what each member of the response plan is
supposed to do or call. Near the computer at each post desk are the phone numbers of members of the coordinated response team.

Review
Police and procedure
Response Plan and Evidence Protocol
Staff interviews

**Standard 115.266: Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.266 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency’s behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.266 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
N/A: The PREA Coordinator reports that the agency does not have a union and does not enter into contracts with its employees. The agency is an “at will” employer. Employees are notified of the “at will” status in the employee handbook.

Review:
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Employee handbook

Standard 115.267: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.267 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident housing changes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (d)

In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (e)

If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (f)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

VOAOHIN policy P100:12 states the facility will have procedures in place to protect all resident and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff. The facility does this by:

- Use multiple protection measures such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional supportive services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations
- For at least ninety days following a report of sexual abuse, assigned staff will monitor the conduct and treatment of resident or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse, to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation

The Program Director states that several staff are responsible for retaliation monitoring. The person being monitored will meet with the designated staff member once a week in a private setting to ensure the resident or staff member is not receiving any retaliation for reporting an allegation or cooperating with an investigation. The PREA Coordinator states that should the person being monitored be a resident, then the once a week monitoring visits will also include status checks. Status checks include monitoring:

- Disciplinary reports
- Housing or program changes
- Negative performance reviews
- Staff reassignments

The PREA Coordinator is able to conduct status checks of disciplinary reports, housing or program changes, performance reviews, and staff reassignments through the agency’s SecurManage resident database system, and through Relias. Reentry Support Specialist will be tasked with ensuring the residents safety while the case manager or emotional support person will help the resident with any mental or medical issues.
The facility provided the auditor with a copy of the Retaliation Monitoring Form. The form includes:

- Date monitoring begins/ends
- Type of monitoring
- Staff assigned to monitor
- Who’s being monitor (resident or staff)
- Reason for monitoring (victim, witness, cooperation w/ investigation)
- Comments
- Weekly meetings and status check remarks (13 weeks)
- Results from monitoring (no retaliation, retaliation found [address and protection measures], end monitoring [unfounded or resident left program], extend monitoring)

The completed forms for the other allegation document an affirmative check of disciplinary reports (number and types), changes in bed assignment (date of change if moved), negative performance review for staff, staff reassignment (date and location if reassigned).

The policy allows for the retaliation monitoring to end if the allegation is determined to be unfounded.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports
Retaliation Monitoring Form
Interview with Program Director
Interview with PREA Coordinator

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.271: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.271 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not
Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)  ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.271 (b)

Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (c)

Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (d)

When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (e)

Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual’s status as resident or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (f)

Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.271 (g)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (i)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (j)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (k)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.271 (l)

- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
Policy P100:14 requires and administrative and/or criminal investigation are completed for all allegations of sexual assault, abuse, and harassment in VOAOHIN residential reentry facilities. The agency is to ensure that investigations are conducted by properly trained individuals or local law enforcement for allegations that are criminal in nature. The policy requires agency administrative investigators to:

- Gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence
- Collect physical and electronic data
- Interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses
- Review prior complains and reports of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment involving the suspected perpetrator
- Document the investigation in a written report

Should there also be a criminal investigation, the policy requires the facility to:

- Provide the local law enforcement with all requested documentation and evidence to the best of its ability for the event being investigated
- The Program Director will be responsible for keeping records of these referrals and the outcomes of police investigations
- Document referral and outcome data in the annual report, compiled by the PREA Coordinator

The auditor was able to review the report form for administrative investigations. The report includes:

- Date and time of incident
- Date incident was reported
- Type of allegation
- Alleged victim’s name
- Alleged perpetrator’s name
- Alleged perpetrator’s status (resident or staff)
- How allegation was reported
- Evidence collected
• Witnesses name
• Statements
• Law enforcement referral
• Victim advocate or emotional support referral
• Forensic medical exam
• Separation from abuser
• Allegation determination
• Resident notification of determination
• SART referral
• 90-day retaliation monitoring

The auditor reviewed nine investigation reports from the allegations during the past audit cycle. Please see standard 115.222 for a summary of the investigations.

One allegation was investigated by the Dayton Police Department. A detective took a statement but the agency did not find any corroborating evidence and the prosecutor’s office declined to pursue charges. A copy of the final report was provided to the agency. The auditor was provided a copy of the report to review.

The auditor interviewed the PREA Coordinator, the Program Director, and a case manager who are trained administrative investigators during the onsite visit. The auditor was able to question all investigators on investigation initiation process, investigation techniques, investigating third-party or confinement facility referred allegations, credibility assessments, and referral for criminal investigations.

The PREA Coordinator reports she will lead any investigations that involve a staff member. Facility investigators will assist in those investigations when needed. Investigations that involve residents only, will be investigated by facility investigators. The facility investigators will assist each other and confer with the PREA Coordinator in those investigations.

When asked about investigation techniques, the investigators report collecting as much collateral information as possible which can corroborate allegations or assist in credibility assessments. The PREA Coordinator states that while the facility is not required to offer Garity or Miranda (not a public agency) the facility always errs on the side of caution and will contact the local legal authority anytime an investigation suggest criminal behavior. The facility is prohibited by agency policy to use polygraph examinations or other truth telling devises.
The PREA Coordinator reports that it is at the discretion of the legal authority to referral allegations for criminal prosecution. When asked how the facility assist in criminal investigations, the Coordinator reports that should a sexual abuse or assault incident occur, the facility’s responsibility is to protect the evidence while the police department will collect the physical evidence. DNA collection from any alleged victim will be collected at Miami Valley Hospital. She reports that the staff will be of assistance in whatever way the police direct and that the Program Director or Assistant Program Director will maintain communication with the police department in order to remain informed on the progress of the investigation. The facility was able to obtain the police report from the one allegation that was criminally investigated.

When questioned about document retention, the PREA Coordinator states that at the conclusion of the investigation, all documents, notes, and any other materials collected relevant to the investigation will be turned over to the PREA Coordinator who will retain the information for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. All information is stored on the Agency’s intranet in a secure file only assessable to authorized staff.

The auditor was giving documentation of staff administrative investigation training certificates. The training is appropriate to meet standard 115.231.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Administrative investigator training certificates
Investigation form
Administrative investigator interviews

Standard 115.272: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.272 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:14 states that the administrative investigator will impose a standard of preponderance of evidence or a lower standard of proof when determining whether an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment can be substantiated. Preponderance of evidence is measured at 51%.

The auditor interviewed the facility’s administrative investigators on the standard of proof used when making allegation determinations. All report using 51% as the measure to substantiate an allegation.

The auditor reviewed the allegations from this audit cycle to verify the standard of proof used. All allegations were determined with that standard.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports
Interview with PREA administrative investigators

**Standard 115.273: Reporting to residents**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.273 (a)

- Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.273 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident’s allegation of sexual abuse in the agency’s facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.273 (c)

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident’s unit? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (d)

- Following a resident’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (f)
Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- [ ] **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- [ ] **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy P100:14 requires the assigned PREA investigator to inform residents of the outcome of the investigation, and document all notification or attempts to notify via the Resident Notification Form. If there was a criminal investigation, policy requires the facility to request all relevant information from the local police department and any other investigatory agency, and provide the information to the investigator so that the resident may be informed of the investigation outcome. The obligation to report investigation outcomes ends when the alleged victim is released from the agency’s custody.

Policy states that the notification for substantiated and unsubstantiated allegations will include:

- If the alleged staff member is no longer posted in the resident’s facility
- If the alleged staff member is no longer employed with the agency
- If the agency learns that the alleged staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- If the agency learns that the alleged staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- If the alleged resident abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- If the alleged resident abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
The facility provided the auditor with the Resident Notification Form that was used to inform the residents of the outcome of the investigation. The form included all required elements of this standard. The form provides the disposition of the investigation and if substantiated, the outcome of the abuser. The auditor was able to view the notifications sent to the residents after the completion of the investigation. Notifications were signed and dated by the residents in all of the investigations. Residents receive a copy of their signed and dated notification.

The Assistant Program Director reports she would be the person collecting all relevant information to complete the form and have the resident sign the notification.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident Notification Forms
Interview with Assistant Program Director

### DISCIPLINE

#### Standard 115.276: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.276 (a)**

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.276 (b)**

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.276 (c)**

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.276 (d)**
- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:13 states that staff who violate the agency policies against sexual abuse and sexual harassment are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination, and that termination is the presumptive disciplinary sanctions for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the act committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.

The agency outlines the disciplinary procedure in the employee handbook. The auditor was given a copy of the handbook for review. The handbook language mimics the language found in policy. All staff are given a copy of the handbook during onboarding training and sign an acknowledgement form. The auditor reviewed acknowledgement form and signatures during the employee file review. Staff members are required to annually sign acknowledgement of personnel policies and procedures.
During staff interviews, staff acknowledged they received a copy of the employee handbook and agency zero tolerance policy during staff orientation. They understood that termination would likely result for substantiated allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.

The auditor was able to discuss the agency’s disciplinary policy, procedure, and practice as it related to violation of the agency’s zero tolerance policy with an agency Human Resources Manager. The HR Manager states that its agency practice to place staff on administrative leave during the course of an investigation. Should the investigation determine that the staff member substantially committed an act of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the agency will terminate employment or contract service.

The facility had several substantiated allegations against staff during this audit cycle. All staff members who have been found to have substantially abused a resident was terminated from the facility or resigned before the end of an investigation where they would have been terminated. The facility had one substantiated allegation of sexual harassment that involved a staff member. That staff member was disciplined according to agency policy and received retraining on ethics and boundaries. The facility also had to make a report to a licensing board based on the substantiated sexual harassment allegation.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee handbook
Employee files
Investigation reports
Employee interviews
Interview with Human Resource Manager
Interview with PREA Coordinator

Standard 115.277: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.277 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.277 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents? ☐ Yes ☐ No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

- ☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:13 states that VOAOHIN has disciplinary sanctions in place for staff, contractors, volunteers, and residents for violating agency sexual abuse and harassment policies. The policy prohibits contractors/volunteers who engaged in sexual abuse from contact with residents and will report behavior to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The agency will prohibit further contact with resident, in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.

The PREA Coordinator reports that the facility has not received an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment against a contractor or volunteer during this audit cycle.

**Review:**
Policy and procedure
Interview with PREA Coordinator
### Standard 115.278: Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

| 115.278 (a) | Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?  
☒ Yes ☐ No |
| 115.278 (b) | Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?  
☒ Yes ☐ No |
| 115.278 (c) | When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?  
☒ Yes ☐ No |
| 115.278 (d) | If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?  
☒ Yes ☐ No |
| 115.278 (e) | Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?  
☒ Yes ☐ No |
| 115.278 (f) | For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?  
☒ Yes ☐ No |
| 115.278 (g) | If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)  
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
☐  **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:13 states residents will be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse or harassment or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse. The policy states:

- Sanctions will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse or harassment committed, the resident’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories
- The disciplinary process will consider whether a resident’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed. If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motives for the abuse, the facility will consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming or other benefits
- The agency may discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact
- For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse or harassment make in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred will not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if the investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate an allegation
- Consensual sexual activity between residents, while prohibited by agency rules, does not constitute sexual abuse, unless coercion was used
The PREA Coordinator states that the facility does not offer therapy or counseling for residents who commit sexual abuse. Residents found to have substantially sexually abused another resident will be terminated from the program and returned to their parent agency. All other types of violations would be subject to discipline according to the progressive disciplinary policy laid out in the resident handbook.

The auditor interviewed sixteen residents during the onsite visit. The interviewed residents stated that upon intake they received a resident handbook and the resident rules and responsibilities were reviewed with them during orientation group.

The RSS staff are the first to interact with a resident upon arrival to the facility. The RSS staff will read the handbook and ensure understanding with the resident should there be any issues with reading or comprehension. The RSS state that they stress the rule violations and possible sanctions during this review.

The auditor was able to interview several RSS staff members during the onsite visit. The RSS staff report reviewing basic information with new resident intakes. They are given PREA information along with a resident handbook. The RSS staff state that the residents are most interested in reviewing the facility rules and possible sanctions. The staff ensure that the residents understand that no type of relationship between another resident or a staff member is allowed and that violations of the agency PREA policy can result in discipline including termination. The staff also state they stress the importance of reporting, what is considered sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and what can be considered a false report.

The auditor also reviewed resident files and reviewed signed acknowledgements from residents concerning the facility’s zero tolerance policies and receiving a copy of the resident handbook.

The facility has had allegations against a resident during this audit cycle. The resident allegations that were substantiated had appropriate sanctions. The facility also provided the auditor with documentation of other sanctions given to residents for violations of the agency’s seductive or obscene acts but was not considered PREA. The facility had one false allegation made against a staff member; however, the facility has not disciplined a resident for filing a false allegation.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Orientation group
Resident handbook
Investigation reports
Interview with residents
Interview with Program Director
Interview with RSS staff

**MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE**

**Standard 115.282: Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.282 (a)

- Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.282 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.282 (c)

- Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.282 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:05 requires all VOAOHIN residential reentry facilities ensure that resident victims of sexual abuse will receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment, crisis intervention services, and ongoing medical and mental health care. VOAOHIN ensures that the medical treatment services are provided to resident victims of sexual abuse without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

The services required to be provided include:

- Emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services
- Information about and access to sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis and emergency contraception
- Medical and mental health evaluation and treatment
- Evaluation, treatment and follow-up services
- Treatment plans and referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in other facilities, or their release from custody
- Case and services consistent with the community level of care
- Test for sexually transmitted infectious disease
- Pregnancy testing and comprehensive access to pregnancy related medical services (for VOAOHIN facilities that house female offenders)

The PREA Coordinator states that all medical and mental health services will be provided for by community providers. She states the scope of services, length of services, and types of services will be at the discretion of the medical or mental health provider and is at no cost to the resident.

The Program Director reports that clients needing mental health services will be directed to Empowered for Excellence for mental health; all medical services are provided by
Miami Valley Hospital providers unless the resident has a personal medical provider in the area they wish to attend; and SANE of Butler County will provide rape crisis services.

The auditor has made contact with all community agencies and have verified the service type and cost. All services related to sexual abuse are free of charge.

The facility has not made a referral to a medical or mental health provider for services due to a sexual abuse incident during this audit cycle.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Program Director

**Standard 115.283: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.283 (a)
- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (b)
- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (c)
- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (d)
- Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if “all-male” facility. Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
115.283 (e)

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if “all-male” facility. Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.283 (f)

- Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (h)

- Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency provides community medical and mental health counseling services for residents who have been sexual abused in a jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. The evaluation and treatment of such victims will include follow-up services, treatment plans,
and continued care following their release from the facility as outlined in policy P100:05. All services provided to residents are from community providers (Empowered for excellence, Miami Valley Hospital, and SANE of Butler County).

Should a resident be a victim of vaginal penetration while incarcerated, the policy requires the facility to offer pregnancy test, and if pregnant, provide timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy related medical services. Males that are sexually abused while in the facility will receive appropriate medical attention. All resident victims of sexual abuse will be offered test for sexual transmitted infections as medically appropriate.

Policy also requires the Program Director or designee to obtain a mental health evaluation for all known resident-on-resident abusers as soon as possible upon learning of such abuse history. Should treatment be recommended, the Program Director or designee ensures the abuser is referred to an appropriate community provider.

The Program Director reports that the facility has not housed a known resident-on-resident abuser.

The facility has not had an allegation of sexual abuse during this audit cycle where the resident victim required or requested medical, mental health or rape crisis services. All residents are offered services after any allegation. All residents declined services and documentation of the notification for available services is noted on all allegation reports.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports
Interview with Program Director
Interview with the Assistant Program Director
DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.286: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.286 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.286 (b)

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.286 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.286 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.286 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

VOAOHIN policy P100:14 states a Sexual Abuse Review Team (SART) will conduct an incident review after every sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegations are determined to be unfounded. The review is required to take place within 30-days of the conclusion of the investigation. The SART members include the PREA Coordinator, Program Director, investigator(s), medical or mental health practitioners (when applicable), and any other staff member as needed.

The responsibilities of the SART include:

- Consider where the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse
- Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility
- Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse
- Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in the area during different shifts
- Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff
The team will prepare a report of its findings and any recommendations for improvement. The report and recommendations will be forwarded to the Vice President of Residential Reentry Programs. The Program Director will insure that the facility implements recommendations within thirty days after the SART publishes its findings.

The facility did provide the auditor with a copy of the SART review form. The report documents:

- Team members present for review
- Evidence collected
- Summary of incident
- Related past incidents
- Motivation for allegation
- Victim care
- Staff deficiencies
- Monitoring technology deficiencies
- Physical plan review
- Risk level re-screening
- Recommendations

The facility conducted three SART reviews during the past twelve months. The team reviewed previous reports, allegation motivation, victim care, policy and procedure review, staffing, facility vulnerabilities, and screening. The team made recommendations to continue training on PREA and associated agency policies and to ensure that vehicles do not block perimeter cameras whenever possible.

During SART interviews, the team stated that during sexual harassment allegations, an informal meeting may take place to determine if policies, procedures, practices, or training needs to be augmented. The Program Director has the responsibility to ensure all recommendations are implemented after approval from senior administrative leadership. The PREA Coordinator would address needs that would require policy and procedure changes, supplementing electronic monitoring, and staffing levels. The facility documented its implementation of the team’s recommendations.

Review:
Policy and procedure
SART review form
Interview with Program Director
### Standard 115.287: Data collection

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

**115.287 (a)**

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.287 (b)**

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.287 (c)**

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.287 (d)**

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.287 (e)**

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

**115.287 (f)**

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:09 requires VOAOHIN to collect and maintain accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The facility’s PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for collecting the data for every allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for each calendar year and report these numbers to the PREA Coordinator.

The facility provided the auditor with the agency’s data collection instrument. The information on the form is enough to complete the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice. The tool includes data on:

- Resident-to-Resident sexual abuse
- Resident-to-Resident sexual harassment
- Staff-to-Resident sexual abuse
- Staff-to-Resident sexual harassment
- Administrative investigations
- Criminal investigations
- Retaliation
- Staff training
- Resident education
- Initial and 30-day risk screening

Program Legend:

- CRRP – Cincinnati Residential Reentry Program
- DRRP – Dayton Residential Reentry Program
- MRRP – Mansfield Residential Reentry Program
- TRRP – Toledo Residential Reentry Program
- Hope Hall - Evansville, Indiana
- Brandon Hall – Indianapolis, Indiana
The PREA Coordinator reports that the agency has not had a request from the Department of Justice to provide this information.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Residential Reentry Programs allegation report (2018, 2019, and 2020)
Agency website
2020 PREA annual report

**Standard 115.288: Data review for corrective action**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
### 115.288 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.288 (b)

- Does the agency’s annual report include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.288 (c)

- Is the agency’s annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.288 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does*
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:09 requires the PREA Coordinator and Senior Vice President of Program Operations, and Directors of Program Operations will review annual data collected and aggregated in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training to include:

- Identifying problem areas
- Tacking action on an ongoing basis
- Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole

The policy also requires the PREA Coordinator to include in the report a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provides an assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse. The agency may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted. The annual report is not allowed to include personal identifiers of anyone involved in a PREA related incident. The report will be sent to the Chief Executive Officer for approval and published on the agency’s website.

The auditor accessed the website and reviewed the agency’s annual report. The report contains aggregated data on the number of reported allegations (facility specific and as a whole), identifying problem areas, and corrective actions, and the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse.

The report identifies that a majority of allegation where staff-to-residents, a number of which were substantiated. The report identifies staff training relating to interpersonal communication and boundaries as ways to address this area. The agency also offers staff additional guidance and training through Relias online training. The Dayton facility has increased the number of cameras by seven since the last PREA audit. The cameras were placed in the dorm rooms and dayroom areas. The agency has also added to the number of trained agency and facility administrative investigators.

The report did not contain any personal identifying information that would need to be redacted in order to protect the safety of the residents, staff, or facility. The report can be found at https://voa-production.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/pdf_file/file/2977/2020_PREA_Annual_Report_Ohio__Indiana.pdf.
Review:  
Policy and procedure  
Agency website  
2020 Annual PREA report

## Standard 115.289: Data storage, publication, and destruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.289 (a)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.289 (b)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.289 (c)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.289 (d)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- [ ] **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- [x] **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- [ ] **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy P100:09 requires the agency ensures that data collected pursuant to standard 115.287 is to be securely retained for at least ten years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise. This includes electronic copies of all investigation reports and related documentation, annual report data, and tracking documents and outcome measures. The policy identifies the PREA Coordinator as the person responsible for ensuring the documentation is retained for at least ten years.

The PREA Coordinator states that each facility’s Program Director will provided the required information to the auditor, and she collects and retains control of the information. She states that she is required to keep the information for ten years. The Coordinator states that the information is digitally stored on an encrypted database that only specific, qualified executive staff members have access. She develops an annual report based on the information and make the information available to the public through the agency website. The report contains aggregated data on all VOAOHIN sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations.

The auditor did not view any information in the report that could jeopardize the safety and security of the facility, nor was there any personal identifying information contained in the report. The report can be found at https://www.voaohin.org/pdf_files/prea-outcomes-report.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Agency website
2020 PREA annual report
Interview with PREA Coordinator
AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)

- During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once?  
  
  (Note: The response here is purely informational. A “no” response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (b)

- Is this the first year of the current audit cycle?  
  (Note: a “no” response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) ☐ Yes ☒ No

- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle?  
  (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle?  
  (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?  
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (i)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?  
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents?  
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (n)

- Were residents permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?  
  ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency post all final audit reports of each of its facilities on the agency website, https://www.voaohin.org/residential-reentry. The auditor reviewed the agency’s website to confirm that the agency conducts audits one-third (1/3) of its facility each year during a three-year audit cycle. The agency has already conducted audits for the Mansfield and Cincinnati Residential Reentry Programs. The final audit report for those facility is posted on the agency’s website. Year one audit requirements are complete. The agency will have another facility audit later in this audit year.

The auditor was given full access to the facility during the onsite visit. The PREA Coordinator, Program Manager, and Assistant Program Manager escorted the auditor around the facility and opened every door for the auditor. The auditor viewed all housing units, dorm rooms, classrooms, group rooms, recreation areas, dining hall, kitchen, staff offices, control center, administrative areas, bathrooms, and maintenance areas. The facility provided the auditor with a private room in order to conduct staff and resident interviews. The PREA Coordinator provided the auditor with agency and facility documentation prior to the onsite visit through a flash dive mailed to the auditor. The auditor was also provided additional information as requested during the onsite visit.

The auditor was able to review additional documentation, including electronic documentation during the onsite visit. The auditor review ten resident files and seven staff files for additional information and confirmation of reported information.

Appropriate notices were posted in conspicuous areas throughout the facility. These areas include high traffic areas for resident, staff, and visitors. The PREA Coordinator sent photographic proof of the notices being posted approximately six weeks prior to the
onsite visit. No staff or resident sent confidential correspondence to the auditor prior to the onsite visit or during the onsite visit.

### Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has published on its agency website, [https://www.voahin.org/residential-reentry](https://www.voahin.org/residential-reentry), the final audit report for all VOA operated facilities in both Ohio and Indiana. The final report for Dayton Residential Reentry Program is currently posted. The auditor reviewed the agency’s website and verified that the final audit report for all facilities were posted.

This is year two of the current audit cycle. The facility has scheduled an audit for a facility in Indiana later in the audit cycle. The audits for year one are already completed.
The facility has a total of six facilities and will have two audits conducted each audit year.

The PREA Coordinator states that she understands the audit requirements of having 1/3 of its facilities during each year of the three-year audit cycle. She also understands the requirement of posting all final audit reports on the agency’s website. In the state of Ohio, all final audit reports are also posted on the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections website, https://www.drc.ohio.gov/prea.

Review:
Agency website
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction website
Interview with PREA Coordinator

---

**AUDITOR CERTIFICATION**

I certify that:

☒ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.

☒ No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and

☒ I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any resident or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

**Auditor Instructions:**

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document.
into a PDF format prior to submission.¹ Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.² See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Kaylee Murray

June 25, 2021

Auditor Signature

Date

---

¹ See additional instructions here: [https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110](https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110).

² See [PREA Auditor Handbook](https://prea.org/resources/prea-auditor-handbook/), Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.